

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/713,488	11/15/2000	Leon Wong	13768.136.1	3606	
45979	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
PERKINS COLE LLP/MSFT			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A		
P. O. BOX 12 SEATTLE, V	247 WA 98111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2157		
	•		DATE MAIL ED. 02/22/200	DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Apr	plicant(s)				
		09/713,488	wo	ONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art	Unit				
		Hussein A El-cha	nti 215	57				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the corres	spondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATHE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specified if NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the stany reply received by the Co	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ectified above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing tent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely file mum of thirty (30) days will b SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma become ABANDONED (35	ed be considered timely. ailing date of this com U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
2a)☐ This action is F 3)☐ Since this appl	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> i 7) ☐ Claim(s)		wn from considera			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Application Papers		•						
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement dra	in is objected to by the Examine filed on is/are: a) according a control of the awing sheet(s) including the correct claration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objection of one of the objection of one of the objection of t	in abeyance. See 37 (drawing(s) is objected	CFR 1.85(a). d to. See 37 CFF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	Interview Summary (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Other:	·	152)			

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to RCE received on Oct. 4, 2004. Claims 1 and 11-14 were amended. Claims 16-30 were newly added. Claims 1-30 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "it" in 10th line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marquette et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,499,053 (referred to hereafter as Marquette).

.

Application/Control Number: 09/713,488

Art Unit: 2157

Marquette teaches the invention explicitly as claimed including a chat system and method where an inviter can send an invitation to an invite and receive a join message from the invitee (see abstract).

Page 3

As to claim 1, Marquette teaches in a computer network that includes a plurality of networked computer systems, wherein a sending computer system sends an instant message through a chain of one or more computer systems to a destination computer system, a method of acknowledging delivery of the instant message, wherein the criteria for determining the success or failure of the delivery are user specified, the method comprising the following:

an act of the sending computer system identifying the user specified criteria for returning a successful acknowledgement message corresponding to the instant message to the user (see col. 2 lines 30-40, inviter sends an invitation message to a user and waits for an accept or decline message);

an act of the sending computer system determining whether the user specified criteria for returning a successful acknowledgement message are met (see col. 2 lines 42-45, the user sends an accept message to the inviter as an acknowledgement to the invitation message); and

an act of the sending computer system returning a successful acknowledgment message corresponding to the instant message only if the user specified criteria have been met (see col. 2 lines 42-48, the inviter receives a join message only if the user accepts the invitation).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claims 11 and 16, Marquette teaches in a computer network that includes a plurality of networked computer systems, wherein a sending computer system sends an instant message through a chain of one or more computer systems to a destination computer system, a method of acknowledging delivery of the instant message, wherein the criteria for determining the success or failure of the delivery are user specified, the method comprising the following:

an act of the sending computer system receiving an acknowledgement message for the instant message (see col. 2 lines 7-45);

a step for the sending computer system determining whether user specified criteria for returning a successful acknowledgement message corresponding to the received acknowledgement message for the instant message are met (see col. 2 lines 7-45); and

an act of the sending computer system returning a successful acknowledgement message corresponding to the acknowledgement message for the instant message only if the user specified criteria have been met (see col. 2 lines 7-45).

As to claim 2, Marquette teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the sending computer system comprises a sending a client computer system associated with the user (see col. 2 lines 30-45).

As to claim 3, Marquette teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the sending computer system comprises a sending client computer system associated with the user (see col. 13 lines 14-50).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claims 4 and 17, Marquette teaches the method and medium of claims 3 and 16 respectively, wherein the act of the computer system identifying the user specified criteria for returning a successful acknowledgement message comprises the following:

an act of the sending server computer system receiving a data field representing the user specified criteria with the instant message (see col. 13 lines 14-50); and

an act of the sending server computer system reading the data field to identify the user specified criteria (see col. 13 lines 14-50).

As to claim 5, Marquette teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the act of the computer system identifying the user specified criteria for returning a successful acknowledgment message comprises the following:

an act of reading a data field representing the user specified criteria from a database accessible to the sending computer system (see col. 13 lines 14-50).

As to claims 7 and 13, Marquette teaches the method of claims 1 and 11 respectively wherein the user specified criteria is a "deep or" criteria (see col. 2 lines 27-32, the inviter enters the invitee name in a list of invitees).

As to claims 8 and 14, Marquette teaches the method of claims 1 and 11 wherein the user specified criteria is a "deep or" and "deep and" criteria (see col. 2 lines 27-32).

As to claim 9, Marquette teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the user specified criteria is a "deep and" criteria (see col. 2 lines 27-32).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 10, Marquette teaches a computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing the acts recited in claim 1 (see col. 2 lines 7-45).

As to claim 15, Marquette teaches a computer readable medium having instructions for performing the specific acts and the step recited in claim 11 (see col. 2).

As to claim 18, the computer-readable medium of claim 17 wherein the indicating includes sending a successful acknowledgement message to the client computer system (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 19, the computer-readable medium of claim 16 wherein the sending computer system is a client computer system that originates the instant message and the indicating includes notifying a user that the delivery of the instant message was successful (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 20, the computer-readable medium of claim 16 wherein the instant message is sent to multiple destination computer systems and the user-specified criterion specifies that the delivery is successful when at least one destination computer system receives the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 21, the computer-readable medium of claim 16 wherein -the instant message is sent to multiple destination computer systems and the user-specified criterion specifies that the delivery is successful when all the destination computer systems receive the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 22, the computer-readable medium of claim 16 wherein the instant message is sent via a chain of one or more computer systems to the destination

Art Unit: 2157

computer system and the user-specified criterion specifies that the delivery is successful when at least one of the computer systems in the chain receives the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 23, the computer-readable medium of claim 22 wherein the delivery is successful when the first computer system in the chain receives the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 24. (New) A sending computer system for determining whether delivery of an instant message sent via a network was successful, comprising: a component that sends via the network the instant message to a destination computer system (see col. 2 lines 5-54);

a component that receives via the network a successful acknowledgement message for the sent instant message; and

a component that indicates delivery of the instant message was successful when the successful acknowledgement message satisfies a user-specified criterion for successful delivery of the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 25, the system of claim 24 wherein the sending computer system receives the instant message from a client computer system that originated the instant message and provides the user-specified criterion (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 26, the system of claim 25 wherein the component that indicates delivery of the instant message was successful sends a successful acknowledgement message to the client computer system (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 27, the system of claim 24 wherein the sending computer system is a client computer system that originated the instant message and the component that indicates notifies a user that the delivery of the instant message was successful (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 28, the system of claim 24 wherein the instant message is sent to multiple destination computer systems and the user-specified criterion specifies that the delivery is successful when at least one destination computer system receives the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 29, the system of claim 24 wherein the instant message is sent to multiple destination computer system and the user-specified criterion specifies that the delivery is successful when all the destination computer systems receive the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

As to claim 30, the system of claim 24 wherein the instant message is sent via a chain of one or more computer systems of the network to the destination computer system and the user-specified criterion specifies that the delivery is successful when at least one of the computer systems in the chain receives the instant message (see col. 2 lines 5-54).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/713,488 Page 9

Art Unit: 2157

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein Elchanti

Feb. 15, 2005

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED SCHNOLOGY CENTER 2105